Playing with Repeating Values in Datawords

Anirban Majumdar M.Sc. Thesis Supervised by: M Praveen

Chennai Mathematical Institute

June 14, 2018

Outline

Realizability games

- 2 Logic of repeating values
- 3 Decidable fragment
- 4 Undecidability results

5 Conclusion



Outline

Realizability games

- 2 Logic of repeating values
- 3 Decidable fragment
- Undecidability results
- 5 Conclusion
- 6 Future work

Specifications for a coffee machine

• Whenever coffee button is pressed, coffee is produced in the next step.

 $G(\text{coffee button} \Rightarrow X(\text{coffee produced}))$

Specifications for a coffee machine

• Whenever coffee button is pressed, coffee is produced in the next step.

 $G(\text{coffee button} \Rightarrow X(\text{coffee produced}))$

• Whenever stop button is pressed, coffee is not produced in the next step.

 $G(\text{stop button} \Rightarrow X(\neg \text{ coffee produced}))$

Specifications for a coffee machine

• Whenever coffee button is pressed, coffee is produced in the next step.

 $G(\text{coffee button} \Rightarrow X(\text{coffee produced}))$

• Whenever stop button is pressed, coffee is not produced in the next step.

 $G(stop \ button \Rightarrow X(\neg \ coffee \ produced))$

• Specifications satisfiable:

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{coffee button} \ \bot \ \ \bot \ \ \cdots \\ \mbox{stop button} \ \ \bot \ \ \bot \ \ \cdots \\ \mbox{coffee produced} \ \ \bot \ \ \bot \ \ \cdots \\ \mbox{coffee produced} \ \ \bot \ \ \bot \ \ \cdots \\ \end{array}$

Realizability of specifications

Coffee button and stop button are not under the control of the system.

Two-player game: environment and system.

coffee button stop button

Two-player game: environment and system.

coffee button stop button coffee produced

Two-player game: environment and system.

coffee button * stop button * coffee produced

Two-player game: environment and system.

coffee button * stop button * coffee produced *

Two-player game: environment and system.

coffee button **stop button **coffee produced *

- coffee button * *
- stop button * *
- coffee produced * *

| coffee button | * | * | * |
|-----------------|---|---|---|
| stop button | * | * | * |
| coffee produced | * | * | |

| coffee button | * | * | * |
|-----------------|---|---|---|
| stop button | * | * | * |
| coffee produced | * | * | * |

| coffee button | * | * | * | ••• |
|-----------------|---|---|---|-------|
| stop button | * | * | * | • • • |
| coffee produced | * | * | * | |

| coffee button | * | * | * | ••• |
|-----------------|---|---|---|-------|
| stop button | * | * | * | • • • |
| coffee produced | * | * | * | • • • |

Two-player game: environment and system.

| coffee button | * | * | * | ••• |
|-----------------|---|---|---|-------|
| stop button | * | * | * | • • • |
| coffee produced | * | * | * | • • • |

The realizability problem:

Two-player game: environment and system.

| coffee button | * | * | * | ••• |
|-----------------|---|---|---|-----|
| stop button | * | * | * | ••• |
| coffee produced | * | * | * | ••• |

The realizability problem: Input: A formula, a partition of the variables.

Two-player game: environment and system.

| coffee button | * | * | * | • • • |
|-----------------|---|---|---|-------|
| stop button | * | * | * | • • • |
| coffee produced | * | * | * | • • • |

The realizability problem: Input: A formula, a partition of the variables. Question: Does the system have a winning strategy?

Two-player game: environment and system.

| coffee button | * | * | * | ••• |
|-----------------|---|---|---|-------|
| stop button | * | * | * | • • • |
| coffee produced | * | * | * | ••• |

No winning strategy for system in the example.

The realizability problem: Input: A formula, a partition of the variables. Question: Does the system have a winning strategy?

Outline

1 Realizability games

- 2 Logic of repeating values
 - 3 Decidable fragment
 - Undecidability results
 - 5 Conclusion
 - 6 Future work

- Language over finite alphabet:
 - NFA, Buchi Automata.
 - LTL.

- Language over finite alphabet:
 - NFA, Buchi Automata.
 - LTL.
- Language over infinite alphabet:

- Language over finite alphabet:
 - NFA, Buchi Automata.
 - LTL.
- Language over infinite alphabet:
 - Register Automata, Data Automata.

- Language over finite alphabet:
 - NFA, Buchi Automata.
 - LTL.
- Language over infinite alphabet:
 - Register Automata, Data Automata.
 - LRV.

Model, syntax, semantics

$$\phi ::= q \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \mathsf{X}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{U}\phi \mid \mathsf{X}^{-1}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{S}\phi \mid$$
$$x \approx \mathsf{X}^{1}y \mid x \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid x \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid y \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x \mid y \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x$$

$$\phi ::= q \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \mathsf{X}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{U}\phi \mid \mathsf{X}^{-1}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{S}\phi \mid$$
$$x \approx \mathsf{X}^{1}y \mid x \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid x \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid y \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x \mid y \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x$$

$$\phi ::= q \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \mathsf{X}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{U}\phi \mid \mathsf{X}^{-1}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{S}\phi \mid$$
$$x \approx \mathsf{X}^{1}y \mid x \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid x \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid y \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x \mid y \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x$$

 $\phi ::= q \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \mathsf{X}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{U}\phi \mid \mathsf{X}^{-1}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{S}\phi \mid$ $x \approx \mathsf{X}^{1}y \mid x \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid x \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid y \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x \mid y \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x$



 $\phi ::= q \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \mathsf{X}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{U}\phi \mid \mathsf{X}^{-1}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{S}\phi \mid$ $x \approx \mathsf{X}^{1}y \mid x \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid x \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid y \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x \mid y \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x$



$$\phi ::= q \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \mathsf{X}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{U}\phi \mid \mathsf{X}^{-1}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{S}\phi \mid$$
$$x \approx \mathsf{X}^{1}y \mid x \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid x \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid y \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x \mid y \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x$$



$$\phi ::= q \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \mathsf{X}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{U}\phi \mid \mathsf{X}^{-1}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{S}\phi \mid$$
$$x \approx \mathsf{X}^{1}y \mid x \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid x \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid y \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x \mid y \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x$$



$$\phi ::= q \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \mathsf{X}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{U}\phi \mid \mathsf{X}^{-1}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{S}\phi \mid$$
$$x \approx \mathsf{X}^{1}y \mid x \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid x \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid y \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x \mid y \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x$$


• Realizability of propositional LTL: parity games on finite graphs.

• Realizability of propositional LTL: parity games on finite graphs.

• Satisfiability of LRV: reachability in VASS [Demri, D'Souza, Gascon 2007].

• Realizability of propositional LTL: parity games on finite graphs.

• Satisfiability of LRV: reachability in VASS [Demri, D'Souza, Gascon 2007].

• Realizability of LRV: parity games on VASS.

• States partitioned into system and environment.

• States partitioned into system and environment.

• Finite set of counters.

- States partitioned into system and environment.
- Finite set of counters.
- Transitions increments or decrements a counter or does not effect any counter.

- States partitioned into system and environment.
- Finite set of counters.
- Transitions increments or decrements a counter or does not effect any counter.
- A player can choose a decrementing transition only if the counter has non-zero value.

- States partitioned into system and environment.
- Finite set of counters.
- Transitions increments or decrements a counter or does not effect any counter.
- A player can choose a decrementing transition only if the counter has non-zero value.
- System wins an infinite play if it satisfies the parity condition.

Outline

Realizability games

- 2 Logic of repeating values
- 3 Decidable fragment
- 4 Undecidability results

5 Conclusion

6 Future work

Asymmetry in games on VASS

- [Raskin, Samuelides, Van Begin 2005] One of the palyers has transitions that are downward closed. Coverability games decidable.
- [Abdulla, Bouajjani, D'orso 2008] One of the players has lossy transitions. Safety games are decidable.
- [Brázdil, Jančar, Kučera 2010] Transitions can add arbitrarily large numbers. Decidable to check if one of the players can make some counter zero.
- [Bérard, Haddad, Sassolas, Sznajder 2012] One palyer can only increment; the other player cannot test for zero.
- [Chatterjee, Randour, Raskin 2013] Energy games: if a player makes a counter to go below zero, the other player wins immediately. One of the players has to additionally satisfy a parity condition.

Asymmetry in games on VASS

- [Raskin, Samuelides, Van Begin 2005] One of the palyers has transitions that are downward closed. Coverability games decidable.
- [Abdulla, Bouajjani, D'orso 2008] One of the players has lossy transitions. Safety games are decidable.
- [Brázdil, Jančar, Kučera 2010] Transitions can add arbitrarily large numbers. Decidable to check if one of the players can make some counter zero.
- [Bérard, Haddad, Sassolas, Sznajder 2012] One palyer can only increment; the other player cannot test for zero.
- [Chatterjee, Randour, Raskin 2013] Energy games: if a player makes a counter to go below zero, the other player wins immediately. One of the players has to additionally satisfy a parity condition.
- [Abdulla, Mayr, Sangnier, Sproston 2013] Single-sided VASS games: Environment cannot change counter value.

Single-sided LRV games

• Environment player has only Boolean variables.

• Environment player has only Boolean variables.

• No nested formulas: only $x \approx \langle \top ? \rangle^{-1} y$.

• Environment player has only Boolean variables.

• No nested formulas: only $x \approx \langle \top ? \rangle^{-1} y$.

• No future obligations: $x \approx \langle \top ? \rangle y$ not allowed.

• Environment player has only Boolean variables.

• No nested formulas: only $x \approx \langle \top ? \rangle^{-1} y$.

• No future obligations: $x \approx \langle \top ? \rangle y$ not allowed.

• Realizability can be reduced to single-sided VASS games: Decidable.

• Environment player has only Boolean variables.

• No nested formulas: only $x \approx \langle \top ? \rangle^{-1} y$.

• No future obligations: $x \approx \langle \top ? \rangle y$ not allowed.

• Realizability can be reduced to single-sided VASS games: Decidable. [Diego Figueira, M Praveen 2018].

• Environment player has only Boolean variables.

• Nested formulas: $x \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1} y$; where ϕ depends only on past.

• No future obligations: $x \approx \langle \top ? \rangle y$ not allowed.

• Realizability can be reduced to single-sided VASS games: Decidable. [This Thesis]

Concrete model



Concrete model



Symbolic model

Anirban majumdar@cmi[dot]ac[dot]in

. . .

Concrete model



Symbolic model



Concrete model



Symbolic model



Concrete model



Symbolic model

Extra information about past positions having same data value

$$\begin{split} \phi &::= q \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \mathsf{X}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{U}\phi \mid \mathsf{X}^{-1}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{S}\phi \mid \\ & x \approx \mathsf{X}^{1}y \mid x \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid x \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid y \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x \mid y \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x \end{split}$$

$\phi ::= q \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \mathsf{X}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{U}\phi \mid \mathsf{X}^{-1}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{S}\phi \mid$ $x \approx \mathsf{X}^{1}y \mid x \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid x \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid y \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x \mid y \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x$



$\phi ::= q \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \mathsf{X}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{U}\phi \mid \mathsf{X}^{-1}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{S}\phi \mid$ $x \approx \mathsf{X}^{1}y \mid x \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid x \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid y \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x \mid y \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x$



$$\begin{split} \phi &::= q \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \mathsf{X}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{U}\phi \mid \mathsf{X}^{-1}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{S}\phi \mid \\ & x \approx \mathsf{X}^{1}y \mid x \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid x \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid y \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x \mid y \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x \end{split}$$



$\phi ::= q \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \mathsf{X}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{U}\phi \mid \mathsf{X}^{-1}\phi \mid \phi \mathsf{S}\phi \mid$ $x \approx \mathsf{X}^{1}y \mid x \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid x \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle y \mid y \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x \mid y \not\approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1}x$



Outline

1 Realizability games

- 2 Logic of repeating values
- 3 Decidable fragment
- 4 Undecidability results

5 Conclusion

6 Future work

• No nested formulas: only $x pprox \langle \top ?
angle^{-1} y$.

• Environment player has only Boolean variables.

• No future obligations: $x \approx \langle \top ? \rangle y$ not allowed.

• Realizability: Decidable.

• Nested formulas: $x \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1} y$; where ϕ depends only on past.

• Environment player has only Boolean variables.

• No future obligations: $x \approx \langle \top ? \rangle y$ not allowed.

• Realizability: Decidable. [This thesis]

Not decidable anymore

• Nested formulas: $x \approx \langle \phi ? \rangle^{-1} y$.

• Environment player has only Boolean variables.

• No future obligations: $x \approx \langle \top ? \rangle y$ not allowed.

• Realizability: Undecidable. [This thesis]

• LCM: Counter Machine + Lossiness.

- LCM: Counter Machine + Lossiness.
- Counter Machine: Transitions can either increment, decrement or test for value zero of a counter.

- LCM: Counter Machine + Lossiness.
- Counter Machine: Transitions can either increment, decrement or test for value zero of a counter.
- Lossiness: Sum of counter values may decrease in every transition.

- LCM: Counter Machine + Lossiness.
- Counter Machine: Transitions can either increment, decrement or test for value zero of a counter.
- Lossiness: Sum of counter values may decrease in every transition.
- Reset Lossiness: At any zero test transition, the corresponding counter value can immediately become zero.

- LCM: Counter Machine + Lossiness.
- Counter Machine: Transitions can either increment, decrement or test for value zero of a counter.
- Lossiness: Sum of counter values may decrease in every transition.
- Reset Lossiness: At any zero test transition, the corresponding counter value can immediately become zero.
- Checking the existense of a configuration from which there is an infinite run in a 5-counter LCM: Undecidable. [Richard Mayr, 1998]






Increment



Increment

• *d* should be a new data value.



Zero test



Zero test

• Counter can immediately goes to zero; wlog, *d* is a new data value.



Decrement



Decrement

• *d* must repeat in the past in an incrementing position and no zero test in between.



Decrement

- *d* must repeat in the past in an incrementing position and no zero test in between.
- If not, second player sets b to false.



Decrement

- *d* must repeat in the past in an incrementing position and no zero test in between.
- If not, second player sets b to false.
- System should justify he is not cheating: can be captured by a formula in this fragment of LRV.

Outline

1 Realizability games

- 2 Logic of repeating values
- 3 Decidable fragment
- 4 Undecidability results

5 Conclusion

6 Future work

Conclusion

• LRV Realizability.

Conclusion

- LRV Realizability.
- Undecidable in the general case.

Conclusion

- LRV Realizability.
- Undecidable in the general case.
- Restrictions to get decidability: single-sided game, no future obligations, no nested formula.

- LRV Realizability.
- Undecidable in the general case.
- Restrictions to get decidability: single-sided game, no future obligations, no nested formula.
- Single-sided, no future obligations, nested formulas depends on past: Decidable.

- LRV Realizability.
- Undecidable in the general case.
- Restrictions to get decidability: single-sided game, no future obligations, no nested formula.
- Single-sided, no future obligations, nested formulas depends on past: Decidable.
- Single-sided, no future obligations, nesting is allowed: Undecidable.

Outline

1 Realizability games

- 2 Logic of repeating values
- 3 Decidable fragment
- Undecidability results

5 Conclusion



Future work

- Tight bound on nesting.
- Complexity bounds.
- Synthesizing winning strategies.
- Other decidable restrictions of VASS games.

Future work

- Tight bound on nesting.
- Complexity bounds.
- Synthesizing winning strategies.
- Other decidable restrictions of VASS games.

Thank you