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- Specifications satisfiable:
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## Realizability of specifications

Coffee button and stop button are not under the control of the system.
Two-player game: environment and system.

| coffee button | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stop button | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $\ldots$ |
| coffee produced | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $\ldots$ |

No winning strategy for system in the example.
The realizability problem:
Input: A formula, a partition of the variables.
Question: Does the system have a winning strategy?
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- Transitions increments or decrements a counter or does not effect any counter.
- A player can choose a decrementing transition only if the counter has non-zero value.
- System wins an infinite play if it satisfies the parity condition.
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- [Abdulla, Bouajjani, D'orso 2008] One of the players has lossy transitions. Safety games are decidable.
- [Brázdil, Jančar, Kučera 2010] Transitions can add arbitrarily large numbers. Decidable to check if one of the players can make some counter zero.
- [Bérard, Haddad, Sassolas, Sznajder 2012] One palyer can only increment; the other player cannot test for zero.
- [Chatterjee, Randour, Raskin 2013] Energy games: if a player makes a counter to go below zero, the other player wins immediately. One of the players has to additionally satisfy a parity condition.
- [Abdulla, Mayr, Sangnier, Sproston 2013] Single-sided VASS games: Environment cannot change counter value.
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Concrete model

\[

\]

Symbolic model
Extra information about past positions having same data value
$\square$
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& \phi::=q|\neg \phi| \phi \vee \phi|\mathrm{X} \phi| \phi \mathrm{U} \phi\left|\mathrm{X}^{-1} \phi\right| \phi \mathrm{S} \phi \mid \\
& \quad x \approx \mathrm{X}^{1} y|x \approx\langle\phi ?\rangle y| x \not \approx\langle\phi ?\rangle y\left|y \approx\langle\phi ?\rangle^{-1} x\right| y \not \approx\langle\phi ?\rangle^{-1} x \\
& \begin{array}{rr}
x \approx y & z \approx\langle\phi ?\rangle^{-1} y \\
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x \approx y & x \approx y \\
\text { increment } C_{\{x, y\}} \\
\text { increment } I_{x}
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\text { decrement } I_{z}
\end{array}
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- Nested formulas: $x \approx\langle\phi ?\rangle^{-1} y$.
- Environment player has only Boolean variables.
- No future obligations: $x \approx\langle T$ ? $\rangle y$ not allowed.
- Realizability: Undecidable. [This thesis]
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## Lossy Counter Machines

- LCM: Counter Machine + Lossiness.
- Counter Machine: Transitions can either increment, decrement or test for value zero of a counter.
- Lossiness: Sum of counter values may decrease in every transition.
- Reset Lossiness: At any zero test transition, the corresponding counter value can immediately become zero.
- Checking the existense of a configuration from which there is an infinite run in a 5-counter LCM: Undecidable. [Richard Mayr, 1998]
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Zero test

- Counter can immediately goes to zero; wlog, $d$ is a new data value.
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Decrement

- $d$ must repeat in the past in an incrementing position and no zero test in between.
- If not, second player sets $b$ to false.
- System should justify he is not cheating: can be captured by a formula in this fragment of LRV.
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- Undecidable in the general case.
- Restrictions to get decidability: single-sided game, no future obligations, no nested formula.
- Single-sided, no future obligations, nested formulas depends on past: Decidable.
- Single-sided, no future obligations, nesting is allowed: Undecidable.
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